



ACT For Missouri
506 N Maguire Street,
Warrensburg, MO 64093
(573) 227-8772
info@act4mo.org
www.act4mo.org

Concerns on HB 544

House Bill 544 (HB 544) focuses on regulating pesticides by amending Section 281.260 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri (RSMo). This bill would allow pesticides registered under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) to be deemed compliant with state warning label requirements if they meet federal EPA standards. This could allow the manufacturer to escape liability if their products were later found to be harmful. Below are specific concerns contained in this legislation.

1. Preemption of State Warning Labels (Section 10):

- The bill establishes that EPA-approved labels under FIFRA satisfy all state warning requirements. This could limit Missouri's ability to impose stricter labeling standards or require warnings about health risks not recognized by federal authorities.
- For example, glyphosate's federally approved label does not include a carcinogen warning despite ongoing scientific debate about its safety. This provision may reduce transparency for consumers about potential risks.

2. Liability Limitations:

- By aligning state requirements with FIFRA, the bill effectively shields pesticide manufacturers from liability related to failure-to-warn claims under state law if their products comply with EPA labeling standards.
- This could restrict legal recourse for individuals harmed by pesticides if federal standards are insufficiently protective.

3. Experimental Use Permits (Section 8):

- While experimental permits are essential for research, they may pose risks if terms and conditions fail to adequately prevent adverse environmental or health effects. The Director's discretion in issuing and revoking these permits could lead to inconsistent oversight.

Potential Limitations on Manufacturer Liability

1. Federal Preemption:

- The provision that EPA-approved labels fulfill all state warning requirements aligns with FIFRA's preemption clause but may prevent Missouri from holding manufacturers accountable for inadequate warnings about pesticide risks[2][4].

2. Disposal Exemptions (Section 13):

- Allowing retailers time to dispose of unregistered pesticide stocks could lead to continued use of potentially harmful products even after their registration is discontinued.

Conclusion

While HB 544 aims to streamline pesticide regulation in Missouri and align it with federal standards, it raises concerns about public safety and liability limitations. The reliance on EPA-approved labels as sufficient warnings may reduce consumer protections and hinder the state's ability to address emerging health risks associated with pesticides. Additionally, provisions limiting liability for manufacturers could restrict legal remedies for individuals harmed by pesticide exposure. These issues warrant further scrutiny to ensure that public health and safety are adequately prioritized.